

## UPDATE TO THE ANNUAL REPORT (2002) OF THE DISPUTE SETTLEMENT BODY TO THE GENERAL COUNCIL

This document updates the Annual Report (2002) of the DSB contained in WT/DSB/29. Since the period covered by it, starting from December 2002 up to the 24 June 2003 DSB meeting, the DSB has held 14 meetings. The minutes of these meetings, which remain the record of the DSB's work, are contained in documents WT/DSB/M/138 – WT/DSB/M/151 and should be read in conjunction with this report.

The following subjects are included in the report:

	<u>Page</u>
1. Election of Chairperson.....	2
2. Additional Procedures for Consultations Between the Chairperson of the DSB and WTO Members in Relation to Amendments to the <i>Working Procedures for Appellate Review</i> .....	3
3. Amendments to the <i>Working Procedures for Appellate Review</i> .....	3
4. Indicative list of governmental and non-governmental panelists .....	3
5. Update to the Annual Report (2002) to the General Council .....	4
6. Recourse to dispute settlement procedures .....	4
(a) Argentina .....	4
(i) Definitive anti-dumping duties on poultry from Brazil .....	4
(ii) Definitive safeguard measure on imports of preserved peaches .....	4
(b) Canada .....	5
(i) Export credits and loan guarantees for regional aircraft.....	5
(ii) Measures affecting the importation of milk and the exportation of dairy products: Second Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by New Zealand and the United States .....	5
(iii) Measures relating to exports of wheat and treatment of imported grain .....	5
(c) European Communities.....	6
(i) Anti-dumping duties on imports of cotton-type bed linen from India: Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by India.....	6
(ii) Conditions for the granting of tariff preferences to developing countries.....	6
(d) Korea.....	7
(i) Measures affecting trade in commercial vessels.....	7
(e) United States .....	7
(i) Anti-dumping and countervailing measures on steel plate from India.....	7

(ii)	<i>Anti-dumping measures on certain hot-rolled steel products from Japan</i> .....	7
(iii)	<i>Continued Dumping and Subsidy Offset Act of 2000</i> .....	8
(iv)	<i>Countervailing duties on certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Germany</i> .....	8
(v)	<i>Countervailing measures concerning certain products from the European Communities</i> .....	9
(vi)	<i>Definitive safeguard measures on imports of circular welded carbon quality line pipe from Korea</i> .....	9
(vii)	<i>Final dumping determination on softwood lumber from Canada</i> .....	9
(viii)	<i>Investigation of the International Trade Commission in Softwood Lumber from Canada</i> .....	10
(ix)	<i>Measures affecting the cross-border supply of gambling and betting services</i> .....	10
(x)	<i>Subsidies on upland cotton</i> .....	10
(xi)	<i>Sunset reviews of anti-dumping measures on oil country tubular goods from Argentina</i> .....	12
(xii)	<i>Tax treatment for "Foreign Sales Corporations"</i> .....	12
(f)	Uruguay .....	12
(i)	<i>Tax treatment on certain products</i> .....	12
<b>7.</b>	<b>Surveillance of implementation of recommendations adopted by the DSB</b> .....	<b>13</b>
(a)	Egypt.....	13
(i)	<i>Definitive anti-dumping measures on steel rebar from Turkey</i> .....	13
(b)	United States .....	13
(i)	<i>Anti-Dumping Act of 1916</i> .....	13
(ii)	<i>Anti-dumping measures on certain hot-rolled steel products from Japan</i> .....	14
(iii)	<i>Section 110(5) of the US Copyright Act</i> .....	16
(iv)	<i>Section 211 Omnibus Appropriations Act of 1998</i> .....	17
<b>8.</b>	<b>Other matters raised under "Other Business"</b> .....	<b>18</b>
(a)	Statement by the Chairman concerning a communication from the Appellate Body regarding the proposed amendments to the <i>Working Procedures for Appellate Review</i> .....	18
(b)	Questions raised by the United States concerning the meeting of the DSB scheduled for 26 February 2003 .....	18

## **1. Election of Chairperson (WT/DSB/M/143)**

At its meeting on 19 February 2003, the DSB elected Mr. Shotaro Oshima (Japan) as Chairman of the DSB by acclamation.

The outgoing and incoming Chairpersons spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

**2. Additional Procedures for Consultations Between the Chairperson of the DSB and WTO Members in Relation to Amendments to the *Working Procedures for Appellate Review* (WT/DSB/M/139)**

At the DSB meeting on 19 December 2002, the Chairman drew Members' attention to the proposal contained in document WT/DSB/W/214 on Additional Procedures for Consultations between the Chairperson of the DSB and WTO Members in relation to Amendments to the *Working Procedures for Appellate Review*. He said that delegations had been invited to make comments on the proposal by 5 December 2002. Since no delegation objected to it by the agreed deadline, he proposed that the DSB agree to the proposal contained in WT/DSB/W/214.

The DSB took note of the statement and agreed to the Chairman's proposal contained in WT/DSB/W/214.<sup>1</sup>

**3. Amendments to the *Working Procedures for Appellate Review* (WT/DSB/M/139, 142)**

At the DSB meeting on 19 December 2002, the Chairman drew attention to the communication from the Appellate Body (WT/AB/WP/5) containing additional explanations regarding amendments to Rules 1, 24 and 27 of the *Working Procedures for Appellate Review* as well as proposed additional amendments to Rules 1, 24(4) and 27, together with proposed consequential amendments to Rules 16(1), 18(5), 19 and 28, and to Annex 1. He said that he would send a fax to delegations regarding this matter in order to provide them with an opportunity to comment in writing by the date of the next regular DSB meeting scheduled for 27 January 2003.

The DSB took note of the statement.

At the DSB meeting on 27 January 2003, delegations were invited to provide their views on the proposed amendments to the *Working Procedures for Appellate Review* as set out in document WT/AB/WP/5. The Chairman said that comments made by Members on the proposed amendments, including in writing, would be conveyed to the Appellate Body by 31 January 2003. He said that in accordance with the agreed procedures contained in WT/DSB/31, he would request the Appellate Body to take these comments into account.

The representatives of Canada, the United States, India, Ecuador, Japan, the European Communities and the Chairman spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to the course of action proposed by the Chairman with regard to Members' comments on the proposed amendments to the *Working Procedures for Appellate Review*.

**4. Indicative list of governmental and non-governmental panelists (WT/DSB/M/139, 143, 145, 147 and Corr.1, 151)**

At its meeting on 19 December 2002, the DSB approved the names contained in document WT/DSB/W/215 and Corr.1 proposed for inclusion on the indicative list of governmental and non-governmental panelists, in accordance with Article 8.4 of the DSU.

At its meeting on 19 February 2003, the DSB approved the names contained in document WT/DSB/W/220 proposed for inclusion on the indicative list of governmental and non-governmental panelists, in accordance with Article 8.4 of the DSU.

---

<sup>1</sup> Subsequently circulated in document WT/DSB/31.

At its meeting on 18 March 2003, the DSB approved the name contained in document WT/DSB/W/223 proposed for inclusion on the indicative list of governmental and non-governmental panelists, in accordance with Article 8.4 of the DSU.

At its meeting on 15 April 2003, the DSB approved the name contained in document WT/DSB/W/226 proposed for inclusion on the indicative list of governmental and non-governmental panelists, in accordance with Article 8.4 of the DSU.

At its meeting on 24 June 2003, the DSB approved the name contained in document WT/DSB/W/231 proposed for inclusion on the indicative list of governmental and non-governmental panelists, in accordance with Article 8.4 of the DSU.

## **5. Update to the Annual Report (2002) to the General Council (WT/DSB/M/151)**

At its meeting on 24 June 2003, the DSB agreed to the Chairman's proposal that the Secretariat prepare an update to the DSB's Annual Report (2002) to the General Council, which would cover the DSB's work since December 2002 up to the 24 June 2003 meeting. As agreed, the DSB would adopt the report *ad referendum*, namely that Members would have one week to comment on the draft report once the Secretariat had made it available to them. After taking into account, as appropriate, comments from delegations, the report would be forwarded to the General Council for consideration at its meeting scheduled for 24 July 2003.

## **6. Recourse to dispute settlement procedures**

(a) Argentina

(i) *Definitive anti-dumping duties on poultry from Brazil (WT/DSB/M/150)*

In April 2002<sup>2</sup>, the DSB agreed to establish a panel to examine the complaint by Brazil with regard to this matter.

At its meeting on 19 May 2003, the DSB considered the Panel Report contained in WT/DS241/R pertaining to this matter.

The representatives of Brazil, Argentina, the United States and Malaysia spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and adopted the Panel Report contained in WT/DS241/R.

(ii) *Definitive safeguard measure on imports of preserved peaches (WT/DSB/M/147 and Corr.1, 150)*

In January 2002<sup>3</sup>, the DSB agreed to establish a panel to examine the complaint by Chile with regard to this matter.

At its meeting on 15 April 2003, the DSB considered the Panel Report contained in WT/DS238/R pertaining to this matter.

The representatives of Chile, Argentina and the European Communities spoke.

---

<sup>2</sup> WT/DSB/M/123.

<sup>3</sup> WT/DSB/M/117.

The DSB took note of the statements and adopted the Panel Report contained in document WT/DS238/R.

At the DSB meeting on 19 May 2003, the representative of Argentina drew Members' attention to document WT/DS238/6, which contained information regarding his country's intentions to comply with the DSB's recommendations in this case.

The representatives of Argentina and Chile spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

(b) Canada

(i) *Export credits and loan guarantees for regional aircraft (WT/DSB/M/145)*

At its meeting on 18 March 2003, the DSB considered Brazil's request under Article 22.7 of the DSU and Article 4.10 of the SCM Agreement for authorization to take appropriate countermeasures against Canada (WT/DS222/10).

The representatives of Brazil, Canada, the European Communities and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and, pursuant to Brazil's request under Article 22.7 of the DSU and Article 4.10 of the SCM Agreement, contained in document WT/DS222/10, agreed to grant authorization to suspend the application to Canada of tariff concessions or other obligations consistent with the Arbitrator's decision contained in document WT/DS222/ARB.

(ii) *Measures affecting the importation of milk and the exportation of dairy products: Second Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by New Zealand and the United States (WT/DSB/M/141)*

In December 2001<sup>4</sup>, the DSB agreed, pursuant to Article 21.5 of the DSU, to refer to the original Panel, if possible, the matter raised by New Zealand and the United States concerning Canada's implementation of the DSB's recommendations in this case. In September 2002, Canada notified the DSB of its decision to appeal certain issues of law and legal interpretations developed by the Panel (WT/DS103/28 – WT/DS113/28).

At its meeting on 17 January 2003, the DSB considered the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS103/AB/RW2 – WT/DS113/AB/RW2 and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS103/RW2 – WT/DS113/RW2 pertaining to these matters.

The representatives of New Zealand, the United States, Canada, the European Communities, Australia, Argentina and India spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and adopted the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS103/AB/RW2 – WT/DS113/AB/RW2 and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS103/RW2 – WT/DS113/RW2, as modified by the Appellate Body Report.

(iii) *Measures relating to exports of wheat and treatment of imported grain (WT/DSB/M/145, 146)*

At its meeting on 18 March 2003, the DSB considered a request by the United States for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to Canada's measures relating to exports of wheat and treatment of imported grain (WT/DS276/6).

---

<sup>4</sup> WT/DSB/M/116.

The representatives of the United States and Canada spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

At its meeting on 31 March 2003, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of the United States and Canada spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel in accordance with the provisions of Article 6 of the DSU with standard terms of reference.

The representatives of Chile, the European Communities, Japan, Mexico and Chinese Taipei reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.<sup>5</sup>

(c) European Communities

(i) *Anti-dumping duties on imports of cotton-type bed linen from India: Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by India (WT/DSB/M/148)*

In May 2002<sup>6</sup>, the DSB decided, in accordance with Article 21.5 of the DSU, to refer to the original Panel the matter raised by India concerning the implementation by the European Communities of the DSB's recommendations on this matter. In January 2003, India notified the DSB of its decision to appeal certain issues of law and legal interpretations developed by the Panel (WT/DS141/16).

At its meeting on 24 April 2003, the DSB considered the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS141/AB/RW and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS141/RW pertaining to this matter.

The representatives of India, the European Communities, the United States and Korea spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and adopted the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS141/AB/RW and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS141/RW, as modified by the Appellate Body Report.

(ii) *Conditions for the granting of tariff preferences to developing countries (WT/DSB/M/139, 142)*

At its meeting on 19 December 2002, the DSB considered a request by India for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint against the European Communities with regard to conditions for the granting of tariff preferences to developing countries (WT/DS246/4).

The representatives of India, the European Communities and Colombia, on behalf of the member countries of the ANDEAN Community, spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

At its meeting on 27 January 2003, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of India, the European Communities, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru, Bolivia, Malaysia and Paraguay spoke.

---

<sup>5</sup> After the meeting, Australia and China reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

<sup>6</sup> WT/DSB/M/124 and Corr.1.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel in accordance with the provisions of Article 6 of the DSU with standard terms of reference.

The representatives of Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Paraguay, Peru, Sri Lanka, the United States and Venezuela reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.<sup>7</sup>

(d) Korea

(i) *Measures affecting trade in commercial vessels (WT/DSB/M/151)*

At its meeting on 24 June 2003, the DSB considered a request by the European Communities for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to Korea's measures affecting trade in commercial vessels (WT/DS273/2). In addition to its panel request, the European Communities also requested the DSB to initiate the procedures provided for in Annex V of the SCM Agreement, and to designate a representative to serve the function of facilitating the information-gathering process under Annex V.

The representatives of the European Communities, Korea, Japan, Brazil and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

(e) United States

(i) *Anti-dumping and countervailing measures on steel plate from India (WT/DSB/M/143)*

At the DSB meeting on 19 February 2003, the representative of the United States announced that his country had implemented the DSB's recommendations and rulings in the case on "United States – Anti-Dumping and Countervailing Measures on Steel Plate from India" (WT/DS206).

The representatives of the United States and India spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

(ii) *Anti-dumping measures on certain hot-rolled steel products from Japan (WT/DSB/M/138)*

At its meeting on 5 December 2002, the DSB considered a request by the United States for modification of the reasonable period of time for implementation of the DSB's recommendations and rulings in the case on "United States - Anti-Dumping Measures on Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Products from Japan" (WT/DS184/16).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to the request of the United States contained in document WT/DS184/16.

---

<sup>7</sup> After the meeting, Bolivia, Mauritius, Nicaragua, Pakistan and Panama reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

(iii) *Continued Dumping and Subsidy Offset Act of 2000 (WT/DSB/M/142, 144)*

In August 2001<sup>8</sup>, the DSB agreed to establish a panel to examine the complaint by Australia, Brazil, Chile, the EC, India, Indonesia, Japan, Korea and Thailand with regard to this matter. In September 2001<sup>9</sup>, the DSB agreed that the panel established at the request of the above-mentioned countries would also examine the complaints by Canada and Mexico relating to the same matter. In October 2002, the United States notified the DSB of its decision to appeal certain issues of law and legal interpretations developed by the Panel (WT/DS217/8 – WT/DS234/16).

At its meeting on 27 January 2003, the DSB considered the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS217/AB/R – WT/DS234/AB/R and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS217/R – WT/DS234/R pertaining to these matters.

The representatives of Canada, Chile, India, Korea, Brazil, Indonesia, Mexico, Thailand, Australia, Japan, China, the European Communities, Malaysia, the United States and Hong Kong, China spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and adopted the Appellate Body Report in WT/DS217/AB/R – WT/DS234/AB/R and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS217/R – WT/DS234/R, as modified by the Appellate Body Report.

At the DSB meeting on 26 February 2003, the parties to the dispute on "United States – Continued Dumping and Subsidy Offset Act of 2000" made statements with regard to the agenda item: "Statements of Intentions Pursuant to Article 21.3 of the DSU in Respect of Implementation of the Recommendations and Rulings of the DSB".

The representatives of Australia, Brazil, Canada, the European Communities, Japan, India, Korea, Indonesia, Thailand and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

(iv) *Countervailing duties on certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Germany (WT/DSB/M/139, 141)*

In September 2001<sup>10</sup>, the DSB agreed to establish a panel to examine the complaint by the European Communities with regard to this matter. In August 2002, the United States notified the DSB of its decision to appeal certain issues of law and legal interpretations developed by the Panel (WT/DS213/16).

At its meeting on 19 December 2002, the DSB considered the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS213/AB/R and Corr.1 and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS213/R and Corr.1 pertaining to this matter.

The representatives of the United States, the European Communities, Japan, Argentina, Korea and Chile spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and adopted the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS213/AB/R and Corr.1 and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS213/R and Corr.1, as modified by the Appellate Body Report.

---

<sup>8</sup> WT/DSB/M/108.

<sup>9</sup> WT/DSB/M/109.

<sup>10</sup> *Idem*



At the DSB meeting on 17 January 2003, the representative of the United States informed the DSB of his country's intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations in this case, pursuant to Article 21.3 of the DSU.

The representatives of the United States and the European Communities spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and of the information provided by the United States regarding its intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations in this case.

- (v) *Countervailing measures concerning certain products from the European Communities (WT/DSB/M/140,142)*

In September 2001<sup>11</sup>, the DSB agreed to establish a panel to examine the complaint by the European Communities with regard to this matter. In September 2002, the United States notified the DSB of its decision to appeal certain issues of law and legal interpretations developed by the Panel (WT/DS212/7).

At its meeting on 8 January 2003, the DSB considered the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS212/AB/R and the Panel Report contained in WT/DS212/R pertaining to this matter.

The representatives of the European Communities, the United States and Mexico spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and adopted the Appellate Body Report contained in WT/DS212/AB/R and the Panel Report in WT/DS212/R, as modified by the Appellate Body Report.

At the DSB meeting on 27 January 2003, the representative of the United States informed the DSB of her country's intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations in this case.

The representatives of the United States, the European Communities and Mexico spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and of the information provided by the United States regarding its intentions in respect of implementation of the DSB's recommendations in this case.

- (vi) *Definitive safeguard measures on imports of circular welded carbon quality line pipe from Korea (WT/DSB/M/145)*

At the DSB meeting on 18 March 2003, the representative of the United States announced that her country had terminated the safeguard measures on line pipe from Korea as provided for in the 31 July 2002 notification (WT/DS202/18).

The representatives of the United States and Korea spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

- (vii) *Final dumping determination on softwood lumber from Canada (WT/DSB/M/139, 140)*

At its meeting on 19 December 2002, the DSB considered a request by Canada for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to the US final dumping determination on softwood lumber from Canada (WT/DS264/2).

The representatives of Canada and the United States spoke.

---

<sup>11</sup> WT/DSB/M/109.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

At its meeting on 8 January 2003, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of Canada and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel in accordance with the provisions of Article 6 of the DSU, with standard terms of reference.

The representatives of the European Communities and India reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.<sup>12</sup>

(viii) *Investigation of the International Trade Commission in Softwood Lumber from Canada (WT/DSB/M/147 and Corr.1, 149)*

At its meeting on 15 April 2003, the DSB considered a request by Canada for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to the investigation of the International Trade Commission in Softwood Lumber from Canada (WT/DS277/2).

The representatives of Canada and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

At its meeting on 7 May 2003, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of Canada and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel in accordance with the provisions of Article 6 of the DSU with standard terms of reference.

The representatives of the European Communities and Japan reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.<sup>13</sup>

(ix) *Measures affecting the cross-border supply of gambling and betting services (WT/DSB/M/151)*

At its meeting on 24 June 2003, the DSB considered a request by Antigua and Barbuda to examine its complaint with regard to US measures affecting the cross-border supply of gambling and betting services (WT/DS285/2).

The representatives of Antigua and Barbuda and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

(x) *Subsidies on upland cotton (WT/DSB/M/143, 145, 146, 147 and Corr.1, 150)*

At its meeting on 19 February 2003, the DSB considered a request by Brazil for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to US subsidies on upland cotton (WT/DS267/7).

The representatives of Brazil, the United States, Argentina and India spoke.

---

<sup>12</sup> After the meeting, Japan reserved its third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

<sup>13</sup> After the meeting, Korea reserved its third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

At its meeting on 18 March 2003, the DSB again considered this matter. In the context of this item, the Chairman said that he was holding consultations with the parties to the dispute in order to meet Brazil's request under Annex V of the SCM Agreement to designate a representative to serve the function of facilitating the information-gathering process.

The representatives of Brazil, the United States, Argentina, India, Pakistan, the European Communities, China, Chinese Taipei and the Chairman spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel in accordance with the provisions of Article 6 of the DSU, with standard terms of reference.

The representatives of Argentina, Canada, China, the European Communities, India, Pakistan, Chinese Taipei and Venezuela reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel proceedings.<sup>14</sup>

At the DSB meeting on 31 March 2003, the Chairman recalled that in addition to the panel request in the case on "United States – Subsidies on Upland Cotton", Brazil had also requested that the DSB initiate the procedures provided for in Annex V of the SCM Agreement in order to designate a representative to serve the function of facilitating the information-gathering process. He had been consulting with the parties to the dispute on this matter. However, he said, these consultations had not yet been completed. Therefore, he was not in a position to make a proposal at the present meeting, but hoped to be able to do so shortly.

The representatives of Brazil, the United States, the European Communities, Argentina, Benin, India and the Chairman spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to suspend the meeting on this agenda item until further notice. The DSB also agreed that the Chairman would continue to consult with the parties to the dispute on this matter and, once an agreement was reached, he would send a fax to delegations informing them that the meeting would be reconvened.

At the DSB meeting on 15 April 2003, Brazil raised some procedural issues concerning Annex V of the SCM Agreement in relation to the case on "United States – Subsidies on Upland Cotton".

The representatives of Brazil, the United States, the European Communities, Chile, Benin, Japan, the Chairman and the representative of the Secretariat spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to the Chairman's proposal that an informal meeting would be held, immediately after the present meeting, to further discuss this matter.

At the DSB meeting on 19 May 2003, Brazil referred to certain issues regarding the procedures for developing information pursuant to Annex V of the SCM Agreement in the case on "United States – Subsidies on Upland Cotton".

The representatives of Brazil, the United States, the European Communities, Argentina and India spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

---

<sup>14</sup> After the meeting, Australia, Benin, Chad, New Zealand and Paraguay reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

- (xi) *Sunset reviews of anti-dumping measures on oil country tubular goods from Argentina (WT/DSB/M/147 and Corr.1, 150)*

At its meeting on 15 April 2003, the DSB considered a request by Argentina for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to the US sunset reviews of anti-dumping measures on oil country tubular goods from Argentina (WT/DS268/2).

The representatives of Argentina and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

At its meeting on 19 May 2003, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of Argentina and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel in accordance with the provisions of Article 6 of the DSU with standard terms of reference.

The representatives of the European Communities, Japan, Korea, Mexico, and Chinese Taipei reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

- (xii) *Tax treatment for "Foreign Sales Corporations" (WT/DSB/M/149)*

At its meeting on 7 May 2003, the DSB considered the European Communities' request under Article 4.10 of the SCM Agreement and Article 22.7 of the DSU for the DSB's authorization to take appropriate countermeasures and to suspend the application to the United States of concessions (WT/DS108/26).

The representatives of the European Communities and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and, pursuant to the request by the European Communities under Article 4.10 of the SCM Agreement and Article 22.7 of the DSU, contained in document WT/DS108/26, agreed to grant authorization to take appropriate countermeasures and to suspend the application to the United States of concessions consistent with the Arbitrator's decision contained in document WT/DS108/ARB.

- (f) Uruguay

- (i) *Tax treatment on certain products (WT/DSB/M/147 and Corr.1, 150)*

At its meeting on 15 April 2003, the DSB considered a request by Chile for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint with regard to Uruguay's tax treatment on certain products (WT/DS261/4).

The representatives of Chile and Uruguay spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter.

At its meeting on 19 May 2003, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of Chile and Uruguay spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel in accordance with the provisions of Article 6 of the DSU with standard terms of reference.

The representatives of the European Communities, Mexico and the United States reserved their third-party rights to participate in the Panel's proceedings.

## **7. Surveillance of implementation of recommendations adopted by the DSB**

Matters considered by the DSB under this item are included on the agenda pursuant to Article 21.6 of the DSU which provides that: "Unless the DSB decides otherwise, the issue of implementation of the recommendations or rulings shall be placed on the agenda of the DSB meeting after six months following the date of establishment of the reasonable time-period pursuant to paragraph 3 and shall remain on the DSB's agenda until the issue is resolved. At least 10 days prior to each such DSB meeting, the Member concerned shall provide the DSB with a status report in writing of its progress in the implementation of the recommendations or rulings."

### **(a) Egypt**

#### **(i) *Definitive anti-dumping measures on steel rebar from Turkey (WT/DSB/M/150, 151)***

At its meeting on 19 May 2003, the DSB considered the status report by Egypt on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS211/7).

The representatives of Egypt and Turkey spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 24 June 2003, the DSB considered the status report by Egypt on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS211/7/Add.1).

The representatives of Egypt and Turkey spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

### **(b) United States**

#### **(i) *Anti-Dumping Act of 1916 (WT/DSB/M/139, 142, 143, 145, 147 and Corr.1, 150, 151)***

At its meeting on 19 December 2002, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS136/14/Add.10 – WT/DS162/17/Add.10).

The representatives of the United States, the European Communities and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 27 January 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS136/14/Add.11 – WT/DS162/17/Add.11).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and the European Communities spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 19 February 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS136/14/Add.12 – WT/DS162/17/Add.12).

The representatives of the United States, the European Communities and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 18 March 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS136/14/Add.13 – WT/DS162/17/Add.13).

The representatives of the United States, the European Communities and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 15 April 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS136/14/Add.14 – WT/DS162/17/Add.14).

The representatives of the United States, the European Communities and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 19 May 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS136/14/Add.15 – WT/DS162/17/Add.15).

The representatives of the United States, the European Communities and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 24 June 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS136/14/Add.16 – WT/DS162/17/Add.16).

The representatives of the United States, the European Communities and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

(ii) *Anti-dumping measures on certain hot-rolled steel products from Japan (WT/DSB/M/139, 142, 143, 145, 147 and Corr.1, 150, 151)*

At its meeting on 19 December 2002, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.3).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 27 January 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.4).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 19 February 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.5).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 18 March 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.6).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 15 April 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.7).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 19 May 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.8).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 24 June 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS184/15/Add.9).

The representatives of the United States and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

(iii) *Section 110(5) of the US Copyright Act (WT/DSB/M/139, 142, 143, 145, 147 and Corr.1, 150, 151)*

At its meeting on 19 December 2002, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS160/18/Add.10).

The representatives of the United States, the European Communities and Australia spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 27 January 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS160/18/Add.11).

The representatives of the United States and the European Communities spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 19 February 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS160/18/Add.12).

The representatives of the United States and the European Communities spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 18 March 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS160/18/Add.13).

The representatives of the United States and the European Communities spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 15 April 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS160/18/Add.14).

The representatives of the United States and the European Communities spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 19 May 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS160/18/Add.15).



The representatives of the United States and the European Communities spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 24 June 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS160/18/Add.16).

The representatives of the United States, the European Communities and Australia spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

(iv) *Section 211 Omnibus Appropriations Act of 1998 (WT/DSB/M/139, 142, 143, 145, 147 and Corr.1, 150, 151)*

At its meeting on 19 December 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.3).

The representatives of the United States, the European Communities and Cuba spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 27 January 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.4).

The representatives of the United States, the European Communities and Cuba spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 19 February 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.5).

The representatives of the United States, the European Communities and Cuba spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 18 March 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.6).

The representatives of the United States, the European Communities and Cuba spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 15 April 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.7).

The representatives of the United States, the European Communities and Cuba spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 19 May 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.8).

The representatives of the United States, the European Communities and Cuba spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 24 June 2003, the DSB considered the status report by the United States on its progress in the implementation of the DSB's recommendations on this matter (WT/DS176/11/Add.9).

The representatives of the United States, the European Communities and Cuba spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

## **8. Other matters raised under "Other Business"**

- (a) Statement by the Chairman concerning a communication from the Appellate Body regarding the proposed amendments to the *Working Procedures for Appellate Review* (WT/DSB/M/147)

At the DSB meeting on 15 April 2003, the Chairman drew Members' attention to the letter from the Chairman of the Appellate Body circulated in document WT/AB/WP/6, which contained the final version of the amendments to the *Working Procedures for Appellate Review* (WT/AB/WP/5) as well as some additional explanations in this regard.

The DSB took note of the statement.

- (b) Questions raised by the United States concerning the meeting of the DSB scheduled for 26 February 2003 (WT/DSB/M/143)

At the DSB meeting on 19 February 2003, the representative of the United States sought explanation with regard to the purpose of the meeting, which had been requested by some Members, concerning statements of intentions on implementation of the DSB's recommendations in the case on "United States – Continued Dumping and Subsidy Offset Act of 2000".

The representatives of the United States, the European Communities, Canada, Korea, Japan, India, Brazil and Chile spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

---